Attorney Docket No: 1999-0679

In the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

(Currently Amended) A method of task classification using morphemes which
operates on the <u>a</u> task objective of a user, the morphemes being generated by clustering
selected ones of salient sub-morphemes <u>or salient phone phrases</u> from training speech which
are semantically and syntactically similar, comprising:

detecting morphemes present in the user's an input communication from the user by utilizing an input speech recognizer, the input communication including verbal speech from the user; and

making task-type classification decisions based on the detected morphemes in the user's input communication, wherein

the input speech recognizer detects the morphemes present in the verbal speech from the user.

- (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the morphemes include at least one of verbal speech and non-verbal speech.
- 3. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 2, wherein the non-verbal speech includes the use of at least one of gestures, body movements, head movements, non-responses, text, keyboard entries, keypad entries, mouse clicks, DTMF codes, pointers, stylus, cable set-top box entries, graphical user interface entries and touchscreen entries.
- 4. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the morphemes are expressed in multimodal form.

2005-09-08 16:57:59 (GMT) 1-410-510-1433 From: Thomas M. Isaacson

Attorney Docket No: 1999-0679

To: MAIL STOP: RCE Page 9 of 17 2005-09-0

Application No.: 09/690,721

Group Art Unit: 2654

5-6. (Canceled)

7. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 1, further

comprising entering into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user

when a task-type classification decision cannot be made based on the input communication

from the user.

8. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 7, wherein

entering into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user when a task-

type classification decision cannot be made based on the input communication from the user

further comprises prompting the user is prompted to provide a feedback response includes

additional information with respect to the user's initial input communication.

9. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 7, wherein

entering into a dialog with the user to obtain a feedback response from the user when a task-

type classification decision cannot be made based on the input communication from the user

further comprises prompting the user is prompted to provide [[a]] the feedback response that

includes including a confirmation with respect to at least one of the a set of task objectives

determined in the a task-type classification decision.

10. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein

the input communication is routed based on the a task-type classification decision.

11. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 10, wherein the task

objective is performed after the input communication is routed.

5

Attorney Docket No: 1999-0679

- 12. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the method operates in conjunction with one or more communication networks, the communication networks including at least one of a telephone network, the Internet, an intranet, a Cable TV network, a local area network (LAN), and or a wireless communication network.
- 13. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the method is used for customer care purposes.
- 14. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the classification decisions and corresponding user input communications are collected for automated learning purposes.
- 15. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the <u>a</u> relationship between the generated morphemes and the <u>a</u> predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of usefulness of [[a]] one of the morphemes to a specified one of the predetermined <u>set of</u> task objectives.
- 16. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 15, wherein the usefulness measure is a salience measure.
- 17. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 16, wherein the salience measure is represented as a conditional probability of [[the]] a task objective being requested given an appearance of the morpheme one of the morphemes in the input communication, the conditional probability being a highest value in a distribution of the conditional probabilities over the set of predetermined task objectives.

Attorney Docket No: 1999-0679

- 18. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 16, wherein each of the plurality of generated morphemes has a salience measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 19. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 1, wherein the relationship between the generated morphemes and the <u>a</u> predetermined set of task objectives includes a measure of commonality within a language of the morphemes.
- 20. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim 19, wherein the measure of commonality measure is a mutual information measure.
- 21. (Original) The automated task classification method of claim 20, wherein each of the plurality of generated morphemes has a mutual information measure exceeding a predetermined threshold.
- 22. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim [[11]] 1, wherein the step of making [[a]] task-type classification decision decisions includes a confidence function.
- 23. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim [[11]] 1, wherein the input communication from the user represents a request for at least one predetermined task objective from a of the set of predetermined task objectives.
- 24. (Canceled)

Attorney Docket No: 1999-0679

- 25. (Currently Amended) The automated task classification method of claim [[11]] 1, wherein each of the verbal and non-verbal speech are the input communication is directed to one of the a set of predetermined task objectives and each of the verbal and non-verbal speech input communication is labeled with the one of the set of predetermined task objective objectives to which [[it]] the input communication is directed.
- 26. (Currently Amended) [[A]] The method of task elassification which operates on the task objective of a user, comprising: claim 27, wherein the method comprises selecting salient phone-phrases from training speech and the morphemes are acoustic morphemes:

generating acoustic morphemes by clustering selected ones of the salient phonephrases which are semantically and syntactically similar:

detecting acoustic morphemes present in the user's input communication; and making task-type classification decisions based on the detected acoustic morphemes in the user's input communication.

27. (Currently Amended) A method of task classification which operates on [[the]] a task objective of a user, comprising:

selecting salient sub-morphemes <u>or salient phone phrases</u> from training speech including verbal speech;

generating morphemes by clustering selected ones of the salient sub-morphemes or selected ones of the salient phone phrases which are semantically and syntactically similar;

detecting morphemes present in the user's an input communication from the user by utilizing an input speech recognizer to recognize the detected morphemes in the verbal speech; and

making task-type classification decisions based on the detected morphemes in the user's input communication.

Attorney Docket No: 1999-0679

- 28. (Canceled)
- 29. (New) The method of claim 1, wherein the morphemes are acoustic morphemes.
- 30. (New) The method of claim 27, wherein generating morphemes by clustering selected ones of the salient sub-morphemes or selected ones of the salient phone phrases which are semantically and syntactically similar further comprises:

applying a ASR phone recognizer to verbal training speech to produce a plurality of candidate phone-phrases.

31. (New) The method of claim 30, wherein the ASR phone recognizer utilizes a phonotactic language model.